

APPENDIX A

HITCHAM ROAD & HAG HILL LANE

Waiting Restrictions

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Hitcham Road as shown on plans E 52, E 53 and F 52?	Would you like to make any comments?	TFB Comments
Yes / No	Comments	
Yes	Is needed for safety reasons to the public	Support for Waiting Restrictions
Yes	Great now look at taplow road and the horrendous parking on this road.	Support for proposals on Hitcham Road and Hag Hill Lane Additional restriction's are wanted for Taplow Road
Yes	No	Support for Waiting Restrictions
Yes	I do support this although it will make the hitcham road end of hag hill lane even worse with people parking then shopping in the bishop centre , staff or people attending the car boot sale on the bath road.	Your comments have been noted. With regards to the junction of Hitcham Road & Hag Hill Lane, the junction will have 'no waiting at any time restrictions'.
Yes	No comment received	Support for Waiting Restrictions
Yes	The double yellow lines are sorely needed for basic safety. The road tends to become impassable for vehicles without a great deal of single file manoeuvring,at busy shopping periods, particularly on Saturdays and Sundays. Cars often park directly on the pedestrian crossing, near the children's playground, which makes it impossible for people with prams or wheelchairs to cross the road at the designated crossing. There have been multiple reports of near misses involving manoeuvring vehicles and pedestrians on the pavement.	Thank you for the supportive comments regarding the waiting restrictions. With regards to the junction of Hitcham Road & Hag Hill Lane, the junction will have 'no waiting at any restrictions'.
Yes	No comment received	Support for Waiting Restrictions
Yes	No comment received	Support for Waiting Restrictions

APPENDIX A

HITCHAM ROAD & HAG HILL LANE

Waiting Restrictions

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Hitcham Road as shown on plans E 52, E 53 and F 52?	Would you like to make any comments?	TFB Comments
Yes / No	Comments	
Yes	Definitely support as at times it is chaos, sometimes the pedestrian entrance has even been blocked by a car and the mess of the chewed up mud is not nice to look at. Sometimes people park there even when there are plenty of spaces. Bishop Centre need to manage the parking better - perhaps providing parking assistants at busy times to save people driving round in circles making the parking more chaotic than it needs to be	Your comments have been noted. With regards to the junction of Hitcham Road & Hag Hill Lane, the junction will have 'no waiting at any time restrictions'. Parking management of the Bishop Centre is dealt with by the complex management company.
Yes	I feel that Hag Hill Lane from the junction with Hitcham Road to Cavendish Close should be residents permit holders only in order to limit the cars for the Bishop Centre parking up the road, causing traffic problems and parking issues for residents.	Residents parking would require further full consultation as it would displace parking in the general area, additional funding would be required to undertake this wider scheme
Yes	This is urgently required as drivers regularly park unsafely on pavements blocking walk ways, public view of road traffic making crossing the road dangerous and limiting driver access on the road to single file traffic, with no consideration for the public. I am concerned this may push and increase driver parking into Hag Hill rise where we live as there are no waiting or parking restrictions in it.	Thank you for your comments Support for Waiting Restrictions
Yes	I thought only on pavement side would temper the speed of the traffic as the route would be constricted	Support for Waiting Restrictions.
Yes	This needs to be prioritised. It's only a matter of time before someone is seriously injured or indeed killed on this road.	Support for Waiting Restrictions.
Yes	No comment received	Support for Waiting Restrictions.

APPENDIX A

HITCHAM ROAD & HAG HILL LANE

Waiting Restrictions

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Hitcham Road as shown on plans E 52, E 53 and F 52?	Would you like to make any comments?	TFB Comments
Yes / No	Comments	
Yes	there should be double yellow lines, Car / Van and even police drivers park along this road outside the Bishop centre also stopping people from crossing safely by Tesco end	Support for Waiting Restrictions.
Yes	Will be interesting to see if the cars which currently short term park on Hitcham Road will start to park on Hag Hill Lane and Hag Hill Rise instead.	Thank you for your comments regarding the waiting restrictions.

COMMENTS BY EMAIL / LETTER

Objection	I live in one of the cottages that backs onto Hag Hill lane. We use our back entrance to get in and out of the house. We are a two car household as are most of the cottages. One of us needs to park on Hag Hill Lane. So what is going to happen to the residence parking if you are going to have waiting times. We totally disagree with the plans and propose that the residence should have two parking permits per household to park on hag hill lane.	Your comments have been noted. With regards to the junction of Hitcham Road & Hag Hill Lane, the junction will be have 'no waiting at any time restrictions'. There will be very little change to parking on Hag Hill Lane.
Support	I would like to support the proposal to put in place No Waiting at Any Time Restrictions and a 20mph Speed Limit at Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close & Green Lane and also for double yellow lines on Hitcham Road, Taplow. The situation the residents have had to endure since the re-development of the Bishop Centre has been intolerable. Cars have parked alongside Tesco which have not only churned up the verge considerably but has also reduced the road to effectively one lane. Because of the one-lane railway bridge controlled by traffic lights at the far end and the A4 Bath Road at the other, Hitcham Road is frequently gridlocked. Traffic also frequently parks on the other side of Hitcham Road and this therefore means that pedestrians must literally take their 'life in their hands' and walk down the middle of the road. I fully support this proposal and frankly, the double yellow lines can't come quick enough!	Supportive comments for the waiting restrictions.
Support	Today we examined map of above ref at Burnham Library. The "No Waiting" + "20MPH" speed restriction proposed in zone E52 E53 and F52 meets our preferences. We note However that more than 5 years have passed since TESCO allocated money to fund road improvement. We urge you to respond more quickly to remedy the dangerous parking on both sides of roads less than 20 foot wide. Also the dropped dimpled kerb preventing pram, pushchair and wheelchair access. A speedy completion is urgently required.	Your comments have been noted. The junction of Hitcham Road & Hag Hill Lane, will be protected by 'no waiting at any time restrictions'. This should remedy the crossing point by the playground footway.

APPENDIX A

HITCHAM ROAD & HAG HILL LANE

Waiting Restrictions

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Hitcham Road as shown on plans E 52, E 53 and F 52?

Would you like to make any comments?

TFB Comments

Yes / No

Comments

Objection

I live on Bath Road, Taplow with Hag Hill Lane being my primary point of access to my house and the road on which I need to park.

We have requested repeatedly over the past 5 years that Hag Hill Lane is made residents permits only.

Since the introduction of Tesco and associated retail outlets at the Bishop Centre we have found it nigh on impossible for either ourselves or our visitors to regularly park within reasonable walking distance of our house.

At the time when planning permission was being discussed we were advised and reassured by Land Sec, Burnham Parsh Council that they would ensure that our concerns were taken into consideration - this has clearly not happened and we have seen a significant lack of action to alleviate the parking problems facing residents.

I am a blue badge holder yet still I can't park anywhere near my own house on a a regular basis - this is causing discomfort and distress.

What you are proposing (ie the now waiting/parking on Hitcham Road) will only exacerbate the problem even further, yet we are still waiting a reasonable resolution to the problem we are already facing due to the additional traffic and parking brought about by the Bishop Centre redevelopment which you approved .

It does seem that we have been completely neglected in this matter so I look forward to hearing just what you plan to do to remedy the discomfort and inconvenience that you have caused by your inaction to date.

Your comments have been noted

Residents parking would require further full consultation, as it would displace parking in the general area, additional funding would be required to undertake this wider scheme

If you are a blue badge holder, You can apply for a disabled space on Hag Hill Lane.

APPENDIX A

HITCHAM ROAD & HAG HILL LANE

Waiting Restrictions

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Hitcham Road as shown on plans E 52, E 53 and F 52?

Would you like to make any comments?

TFB Comments

Yes / No

Comments

Objection

I am writing in connection to the waiting time and parking restrictions you have stated will happen around the Hag Hill area. Unfortunately I see no parking restrictions for Hag Hill Rise.

Living on Hag Hill Rise close to Tesco, we are constantly battling with shoppers parking outside our driveway and on the bends, which in itself is a hazard. The lack of consideration is unbelievable with no signs of remorse. This is happening every weekend, just like the residents stated it would at the very beginning of the building works. As usual our comments were completely disregarded.

We have tweeted and emailed the council but to no avail, it's as if you have refused to acknowledge our existence.

I would seriously suggest you re-consider your parking decision as Hag Hill Rise absolutely MUST be taken into consideration. The continued ignoring of Hag Hill Rise residents can go on no longer. We have suffered more than most. We were told that our street would not be affected when the building started - wrong. We were told that decisions would be made about the road with our ideas being taken into consideration - again wrong.

A 20 mph speed limit is ridiculous as the residents are not stupid, but those who persistently block peoples access are! One day an emergency vehicle will not be able to gain access and then whose fault will it be? Why should the residents of Hag Hill Rise be penalised for living there, before Tesco was built and why should we continue to pay for the councils errors of judgement. You were quick enough to police the area during the Olympics and gain fines when necessary but now your opinion is, clearly forget the residents!

Where did all the money go???

You should be aware that I know of people wanting to take this into their own hands. Please help us to not get that far.

Objection to the proposals - as there is no proposals on Hag Hill Rise.

If you are having problem's with people blocking your driveway, please apply for a access protection marking to be installed.

APPENDIX A

HITCHAM ROAD & HAG HILL LANE

Waiting Restrictions

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Hitcham Road as shown on plans E 52, E 53 and F 52?	Would you like to make any comments?	TFB Comments
Yes / No	Comments	
Support	<p>We write in support of the traffic calming measures proposed for Hag Hill Lane and Hitcham Road.</p> <p>Hag Hill Lane is a narrow road with many parked cars and no pavement, making it hazardous to pedestrians. Therefore we support any traffic calming measures to try and improve safety.</p> <p>We also support the introduction of no parking on Hitcham Road as the situation is ridiculous at weekends and major holidays. Our only concern is that people visiting the Bishop Centre may then park in Hag Hill Lane instead of Hitcham Rd. We thus suggest that the no parking restriction (except for residents) is extended further into Hag Hill Lane.</p> <p>Cars parked on one side of Hitcham Road causing issues with cars passing. This is a consistent problem at weekends and major holidays.</p> <p>Parking on Hitcham Rd / Hag Hill Lane causing partial blocking and visibility issues at the Hag Hill Lane junction. The delivery van had no where else to unload as cars were blocking any space in both Hitcham Road and Hag Hill Lane.</p>	<p>Support for waiting restrictions, but would like additional restrictions for residents only.</p> <p>Residents parking would require further full consultation as it would displace parking in the general area, additional funding would be required to undertake this wider scheme</p>
Support	<p>I live on Hag hill lane. Im at the bishop centre end of the lane.</p> <p>I have lived here for over 10 years and seen the bishop centre change.</p> <p>We now have Staff, customers from the bishop centre and car boot visitors parking along our road.</p> <p>Im lucky enough to have a drive way but live on the blind bend of the road so at the weekend when people park so closely to the driveway its a gamble when you enter or exit whether a car is coming which can be very dangerous.</p> <p>The row of cottages at Hitcham lane end next to the playground have no chance of parking outside their own houses.</p> <p>I agree that having a no waiting on Hitcham road is a great idea as its been pretty awful along there but it will cause more parking problems along Hag Hill lane?!</p> <p>Maybe permit parking might help?</p>	<p>Support for waiting restrictions, but can foresee there being more problems on Hag Hill Lane when these these restrictions are implemented</p> <p>Residents parking would require further full consultation as it would displace parking in the general area, additional funding would be required to undertake this wider scheme</p>

WINDSOR LANE - WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX (A)

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane as shown on plans H 48 and H 49?	Would you like to make any comments?	TfB Comments
Response	Comments	
Objection	<p>I have photographs of obstruction caused by vehicles parking the entire length of Windsor Lane. Monday to Saturday day times visitors to Burnham Park Hall are parking around the entrance to the car park, which is usually not at capacity and up on the grass verges further down towards the 5 points junction with Priory Road causing damage to the verges and creating an eyesore, at school times vehicles are parking on the verge near the junction with Priory road. Vehicles are parking dangerously on the corner at the bottom of the High Street, causing complete chaos in the morning and obstructing the bus services and creating traffic jams. As the Police are only able to assist with obstruction which is limited to complete obstruction to leaving your property, this road is badly in need of double yellow lines the entire length of the road and I am amazed the Parish Council have only recommended the area outside the Park Hall and ignored the problem it causes to the actual residents, as the only seem concerned with the look of the front of the Park Hall and ignore the surrounding roads to their detriment.</p>	<p>Objections to the proposal as they would like the 'no waiting at any time restrictions' extended for the full length of the road.</p>
Objection	<p>I strongly oppose these plans as these restrictions stop short right outside my property. Which just moves the parking problem down the road from BPHall/Library. Every day I have trouble getting out and into my property when cars are parked adjacent to my drive. These cars park on the road to access the library and to visit B P Hall and I can't exit or enter from either way. There isn't enough room for cars to pass safely when cars are parked outside my property. Also it is a busy bus route and I've noticed that buses can not get down the road safely when cars are parked here. If the double yellow lines / restrictions were extended further down the road where the road is wider would be a better solution and hopefully solve the problem and not cause one. The restrictions stop right outside my property so I totally disagree with this proposal. I have put up with the traffic problem long enough and do not want it to be worse. This is an opportunity to get it right for all the residents in a Windsor Lane to have a safe and car free car park.</p>	<p>Objections to the proposal as they would like the 'no waiting at any time restrictions' extended for the full length of the road.</p>
Objection	<p>I walk the road many times a week, the proposal does NOT go far enough. all you will be doing is moving the problem further down the road! The entire road need to be a RED Route on the WEST Side. At the VERY LEAST the Double Yellow Lines need to be extended North up into the road Junction, where cars are already parking on the Bend.</p>	<p>Objections to the proposal as they would like the 'no waiting at any time restrictions' extended for the full length of the road.</p>

WINDSOR LANE - WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX (A)

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane as shown on plans H 48 and H 49?	Would you like to make any comments?	TfB Comments
Response	Comments	
Objection	<p>I wish to object to the proposal contained in the above order related to Windsor Lane Burnham on the grounds that the proposed restrictions outside Burnham Library are unnecessary, but also that the proposed restrictions at the Junction of Windsor Lane with Lincolns Hatch Lane are inadequate as there is a major traffic hazard caused by parking on the Bend.</p> <p>I have attached a diagram to show the area which needs "No Waiting at any time" between the end of the area you have already marked corresponding to my point A to my Point B. Vehicles are parking on the bend by the hatched area and opposite the junction with Lincoln's Hatch Lane. This makes it very dangerous as vehicles are moving in five directions, 1. Into the Lincoln's Hatch Lane from the High Street Direction, 2. Turning right from Windsor Lane into Lincoln's Hatch Lane 3. From the High Street Direction along Windsor Lane, 4. From Lincolns Hatch Lane turning left into Windsor Lane and 5, From Lincolns Hatch Lane across Windsor Lane towards the High Street and Stomp Road. This is further complicated by large delivery lorries entering the premises of the Foundry with its junction at the entrance to Lincolns Hatch Lane and the Bus Stop on Windsor Lane, immediately after the junction with the High Street.</p> <p>The area of road for these movements is reduced considerably by the recent phenomenon of vehicles parking on the bend. The vehicle parked there, is small but it is usually one of a series of vehicles which are usually much larger or vans. This situation will get much worse if you impose the restriction lower down Windsor Lane as more vehicles will be forced to park on this bend. This situation is far more serious than any inconvenience to householders in the houses opposite the Library. The Library Car park is small as it is and is often filled by vehicles visiting Burnham Park Hall, who do not want to register their vehicles for more than two hours parking as required.</p> <p>I would strongly suggest a site visit to see the problem to which I am referring. We have had situations where buses are finding it difficult to negotiate their route down Windsor Lane due to this parking and many large lorries are only able to access the foundry site by reversing in, completely blocking Windsor Lane and Lincoln's Hatch Lane entrance.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments they have been noted.</p> <p>Additional restrictions are wanted with Lincoln Hatch lane.</p>

WINDSOR LANE - WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX (A)

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane as shown on plans H 48 and H 49?	Would you like to make any comments?	TfB Comments
Response	Comments	
Objection	<p>I have seen the sign on Windsor lane about the proposed parking restrictions that the council are to implement.</p> <p>I would like to propose that parking restrictions or double yellow lines should only be at the turning points on Windsor lane. This is where problems are encountered. Such as :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The turn in/out of the library/park entrance.- The corner of Windsor lane and Lincoln hatch lane. <p>There are no overall issues with parking on Windsor lane so would be against any proposals to implement double yellow lines on Windsor lane (other than those suggested above) as this will cause inconvenience to residents.</p>	Thank you for your comments they have been noted.
Objection	<p>The parking restriction proposal for Windsor Lane, Burnham does not make a lot of sense, and will be an increased hazard for residents. The proposal is for double yellow lines on the west side of the road (outside Burnham Library and the entrance to Burnham Park Hall car park) where there is a grass verge and a pavement, but not on the east side where all the housing is and where there is no grass verge or pavement. The consequence of this would be to move all the parking to the east side of the road, making emerging from our driveways even more hazardous than it is at present, and it will not open up the road for traffic.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments they have been noted.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to the proposed restrictions although will not stop parking on the eastern side of Windsor Lane, will continue to allow some parking on the West side of Windsor Lane which may prevent parking on the eastern side at these locations.</p>

WINDSOR LANE - WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX (A)

Do you support the proposed waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane as shown on plans H 48 and H 49?	Would you like to make any comments?	TfB Comments
Response	Comments	
Objection	<p>I wish to raise an objection to double yellow lines on Windsor Lane, Burnham.</p> <p>There is very limited parking at the library which is situated on this road (approximately 6 spaces in total including 1 disabled) and therefore it is necessary for people to mark on the road outside as the car park quickly becomes full.</p> <p>I myself go the the library with my 8 month old daughter to bounce and rhyme on a Tuesday afternoon as it's often unsuitable to walk during winter months.</p> <p>Were this not to be an option I'm not sure where people could park instead?</p> <p>Furthermore, I also use Burnham Park Hall and adjoining car park on occasion which also fills up and therefore road parking in necessary for overflow - otherwise there is nowhere for people to park but this is also an important community centre.</p>	Thank you for your comments they have been noted.